

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,529	03/11/2004	Carl W. Podella	ABC.003US	8501
35938 Biotechnology	7590 02/28/200 Law Group	EXAMINER		
c/o Portfolioip	•	VOGEL, NANCY S		
P.O. Box 5205 Minneapolis, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1 ,			1636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/799,529	PODELLA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NANCY VOGEL	1636		

	NANCT VOGEL	1030				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 13 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
 Ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee			
have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of stunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any semel patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed). 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 1,2,6-8,10-18,29-33,59 and 60.						
Claim(s) rejected: 3-5.9.19-21.40-47.52.61 and 62. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:						

/NANCY VOGEL/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1636 Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendments to the claims would raise new issues that would require a new search and further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims as ammeded would be rejected under 39 USC 112 p.1, for lack of written description, since the specification does not provide an adequate description of the "post-fermentation mixture" which has the ability to accelerate nutrient uptake in bacteria or yeast without a substaially commensurate increase of biomass, since the single example is not representative of the broad genus contained in the claims. Furthermore, the specification does not dearly define what is intended by "aerobic fermentation supernatant" and therefore the term may be read in its broadest reasonable interpretation, which bould include a the culture media with cells removed, i.e. without disrupting the cells.